

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Application in light of the Office Action mailed August 29, 2011. At the time of the Office Action, Claims 1-2, 6-10, 12-15, 18-21, 25, 27-30, 32-37, 44-50, 59-60, and 67-85 were pending in the Application and stand rejected. Applicant amends several Independent Claims without prejudice or disclaimer in an effort to expedite the prosecution of this case. The amendments to these claims are not the result of any Prior Art reference and, thus, do not narrow the scope of any of the claims. Furthermore, the amendments are not related to patentability issues and only further clarify subject matter already present. All of Applicant's amendments have only been done in order to advance prosecution in this case. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the pending claims and favorable action in this case.

Interview Summary for November 29, 2011

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the telephone interview on November 29, 2011, and for the thoughtful consideration of this case. An agreement was reached as a result of the Interview to resolve the remaining §112 issues. Any subsequent amendments to the claims were not based on reasons related to patentability, and Applicant reserves the right for future commentary concerning the rationale behind these amendments.

Section 112 Rejection

The Examiner rejects Claims 1-2, 6-10, 12-15, 18-21, 25, 27-30, 32-37, 44-50, 59-60, and 67-85 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has made amendments to address the Examiner's concerns.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CSCO-002 (CPOL 94701)
Confirmation No. 4202

PATENT APPLICATION
09/785,884

23

Accordingly, each of the Independent Claims recites allowable subject matter. Additionally, the corresponding dependent claims from these Independent Claims are also patentably distinct for analogous reasons. Notice to this effect is respectfully requested in the form of a full allowance of these claims.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CSCO-002 (CPOL 94701)
Confirmation No. 4202

PATENT APPLICATION
09/785,884

24

CONCLUSION

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for immediate allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for all other reasons clear and apparent, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims.

No additional fees are believed due. However, please apply any other charges or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-4889 of PATENT CAPITAL GROUP, referencing the attorney docket number referenced above.

If there are matters that can be discussed by telephone to advance prosecution of this application, Applicant invites the Examiner to contact Thomas J. Frame at (214) 823-1241.

Respectfully submitted,

Patent Capital Group
Attorneys for Applicant

/Thomas J. Frame/
Thomas J. Frame
Reg. No. 47,232

Date: November 29, 2011

Customer No. 86421